

Appendix 1 – Rubric Used to Evaluate Proposals to Create CURE Sections

CRITERIA	SCORING SCALE			
Enhancement of learning outcomes (see theory of action) due to the proposed activities	Learning outcomes strongly enhanced. 4 []	Learning outcomes moderately enhanced 3 []	Learning outcomes slightly enhanced 2 []	Learning outcomes poorly enhanced 1 []
Completeness of the project management plan (PMP)	Well developed, comprehensive and complete PMP 4 []	Moderately developed, mostly complete PMP 3 []	Partially developed, partially complete PMP 2 []	Underdeveloped, incomplete or absent PMP 1 []
Likelihood of achieving the stated goals with the available resources	Strong likelihood 4 []	Good likelihood 3 []	Likely 2 []	Unlikely 1 []
Qualifications of the proposer(s), including the quality of prior work	Outstandingly qualified 4 []	Well qualified 3 []	Fairly qualified 2 []	Not qualified 1 []
Potential value of the proposed activities to the larger City Tech community	Great potential value 4 []	Good potential value 3 []	Some potential value 2 []	Little potential value 1 []

CRITERIA	SCORING SCALE			
How do you rate the overall worthiness of the proposal for funding?	Highly worthy 4 []	Worthy 3 []	Some additional work required 2 []	Not worthy 1 []

Additional Reviewers Comments:

TOTAL SCORE: