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## Description of the ballistic scheme of the mission and the design parameters of the spacecraft

## Ballistic scheme of the mission

- A spacecraft with a folded sail is brought out of the Earth's sphere of action onto a heliocentric flight trajectory that provides the specified parameters of a gravitational maneuver near the Earth due to the propulsion system of the upper stage.
- A year later, after performing a gravitational maneuver in the Earth's gravity field, the spacecraft fairing is reset and the solar sail opens.
- Further heliocentric movement is carried out due to light pressure and the spacecraft enters orbit, most of the time lying in the asteroid belt.
- The solar sail assumes a position perpendicular to the light stream, and further trajectory changes occur only due to the degradation of the sail surface, long-term studies of the asteroid belt are carried out.

Prototype spacecraft and sails


From: G.W. Hughes, M. Macdonald, C.R. McInnes et al. Sample Return from Mercury and Other Terrestrial Planets Using Solar Sail Propulsion, Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, 43 (2006).

As a prototype, the solar sail of the SPACECRAFT project is used to deliver soil samples from the surface of Mercury to Earth. According to the calculations, a frame-type solar sail delivered by a Japanese H-2A launch vehicle with an excess of speed to reach a heliocentric trajectory will be able to give a payload weighing 1905 kg an acceleration of 0.25 * $10-3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{\wedge} 2$.

Figure: Placement of a spacecraft with a solar sail delivering soil from the surface of Mercury under the fairing of the H-IIA launch vehicle

Table 1 - Design parameters of the spacecraft

| Weight of the <br> spacecraft, kg | Payload weight, <br> kg | The mass of the <br> solar sail, kg | Sail shape, m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$\quad$| Acceleration, $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ |
| :---: |
| 2353 |

## Table 2 - Mass characteristics of the solar sail for the delivery of Mercury soil

| Element Description | Weight, kg |
| :--- | :---: |
| Payload weight of the sail | 1905 |
| The bearing film of the CP1 sail is 2 mkm | 216 |
| Aluminum reflective coating with a thickness of 0.1 mkm | 41 |
| Binding coating | 26 |
| Frame beams sails | 54 |
| Mechanical deployment and management systems | 111 |
| Total mass of solar sail assembly | 448 |
| Initial mass of the spacecraft | 2353 |

## Dimensions of the solar sail: $275 \mathrm{~m} \times 275 \mathrm{~m}$

Sail area: 75 ' $625 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

Characteristic acceleration: $0.25 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ (Earth to Mercury), $0.78 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ (return)

Energy characteristics of the Japanese H-IIA 202-4S launch vehicle with the reference of which the device was designed, make it possible to put up to $2,600 \mathrm{~kg}$ of payload into a parabolic orbit out of the Earth's sphere of action ( $11.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ ).

## Lighter Spacecraft Option (for research purposes)

- Choice a lighter spacecraft weighing 500 kg (for research purposes)
- Sail area reduced to $16^{\prime} 070 \mathbf{~ m}^{2}$

Table 3 - Parameters of the selected spacecraft

| Design parameters of the device |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Initial mass of the spacecraft, kg | 500 |  |
| Sail area, m 2 | 16070 |  |
| Reflection Coefficient $(\mathrm{Al})$ | 0,777 |  |
| Specular Reflection Factor (Al) | 0,900 |  |
| Secondary radiation coefficient | Al | 0,540 |
|  | Cr | 0,540 |
| Non-Lambert coefficient | Al | 0,790 |
|  | Cr | 0,550 |

## The mathematical model used for the motion of a spacecraft with a solar sail

# The following assumptions are used to describe the motion of the spacecraft: 


#### Abstract

- the motion of the spacecraft in the plane of the ecliptic is considered, the orbits of the planets are considered circular;


- gravitational or other disturbances from any celestial objects are not taken into account;
- the intensity of the Sun's radiation varies inversely proportional to the square of the distance and does not change with time (does not depend on solar activity).

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{d r}{d t}=V_{r}, & \frac{d V_{r}}{d t}=a_{r}\left(r, \lambda_{1}, t\right)-\frac{1}{r^{2}}+\frac{V_{u}^{2}}{r} \\
\frac{u}{l t}=\frac{V_{u}}{r}, & \frac{d V_{u}}{d t}=a_{u}\left(r, \lambda_{1}, t\right)-\frac{V_{r} V_{u}}{r}
\end{array}
$$

Describes motion in a flat polar coordinate system
Dimensionless form
Equations describe the motion:

- Differential equations for radius and velocity components
- Acceleration generated by the solar sail depends on distance and angle of the sail



## Coordinates and directions of vector

- Coordinates: r (radius vector) and u (latitude argument)
- Velocity components: $V_{r}$ (radial) and $V_{u}$ (transversal)
- Acceleration components: $a_{r}$ and $a_{u}$

Fig. 2. Polar plane heliocentric coordinate system

## Boundary Conditions

$$
t=T, \quad r=r_{t}, \quad V_{r}=V_{r_{t^{\prime}}} \quad V_{u}=V_{u_{t}},
$$

Boundary conditions for achieving the spacecraft's target orbit
Duration of flight: T
Heliocentric radius and velocity components in the target orbit: $r_{t}, V_{r_{\mathrm{t}}}, V_{u_{t}}$ Depend on the angle of the true anomaly at the final moment of time

## Components of Acceleration

Sum of two components: directed along the normal to the surface of the sail $\left(a_{\perp}\right)$ and parallel to the surface of the sail in a plane passing through the radius vector $\left(a_{| |}\right)$ Equations (1) and (2) describe the components of acceleration

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{\perp}=\frac{s_{r}}{c m} S \cdot \cos \theta \cdot\left(a_{1} \cos \theta+a_{2}\right) \\
& a_{\|}=\frac{s_{r}}{c m} S \cdot \cos \theta \cdot a_{3} \sin \theta \\
& a_{1}=1+\varsigma \rho, \\
& a_{2}=B_{f}(1-\varsigma) \rho+(1-\rho) \frac{\varepsilon_{f} B_{f}-\varepsilon_{b} B_{b}}{\varepsilon_{f}+\varepsilon_{b}}, \\
& a_{3}=1-\varsigma \rho
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S_{r}$ - is the power of the solar electromagnetic
$m$ - the mass of the spacecraft;
$S$ - surface area of the sail;
$\theta$ - the angle between the direction to the Sun and the normal to the surface of the sail (installation angle); $\rho$ - reflection coefficient; $\varsigma$ - the mirror reflection factor of the sail surface; $\varepsilon_{f}, \varepsilon_{b}$ - the radiation coefficients of the front and rear surfaces of the sail; $B_{f}, B_{b}$ - are non-Lambert coefficients of the front and rear surfaces of the sail, which describe the angular distribution of emitted and diffusely reflected photons.

The power of the solar electromagnetic wave varies inverselyproportional to the square of the heliocentric distance:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r}=S_{0}\left(\frac{r_{0}}{r}\right)^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{0}=1,36 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}-$ solar constant (the intensity of the Sun's radiation in the Earth's orbit), $r_{0}=1 \mathrm{AU}=1,496 \cdot 10^{8} \mathrm{~km}-$ is the average distance from the Earth to the Sun.

## Thrust

Equations (3) and (4) describe the thrust from light pressure and the deviation of thrust direction from the sail surface's normal

Impact of imperfect reflection on thrust magnitude and direction

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=\frac{s_{r}}{c m} S \cos \theta \sqrt{1+2 \varsigma \rho \cos 2 \theta+(\varsigma \rho)^{2}+2 a_{2}(1+\varsigma \rho) \cos \theta+a_{2}{ }^{2}}  \tag{4}\\
& \operatorname{tg} \varphi=\frac{a_{\|}}{a_{\perp}}=\frac{a_{3} \sin \theta}{a_{1} \cos \theta+a_{2}}=\frac{(1-\varsigma \rho) \sin \theta}{(1+\rho \rho) \cos \theta+a_{2}}  \tag{3}\\
& a=\frac{s_{r}}{c m} S(\theta) \sqrt{1+\rho^{2}-2 \rho \cos (\pi-2 \theta)}=\frac{s_{r}}{c m} S \cos \theta \sqrt{1+\rho^{2}+2 \rho \cos 2 \theta}, \\
& \sin \varphi=\frac{(1-\rho) \sin \theta}{\sqrt{1+\rho^{2}+2 \rho \cos 2 \theta}} . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

## Conclusions:

(1) a decrease in the magnitude of acceleration from the forces of light pressure
(2) a narrowing of the range of available acceleration angles relative to the direction of the luminous flux
(3) an increase in the share of absorbed energy of the luminous flux, which leads to an increase in surface temperature and acceleration of degradation processes of the sail surface.

## Degradation of Soil surface optical parameters

Surface degradation due to outer space factors [Dachwald, McDonald, Mclnnes et al]:
Decrease in reflection coefficient, increase in absorbed radiation.

Parametric dependencies for calculating changes in optical characteristics
$\frac{p(t)}{p_{0}}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1+d e^{-\lambda \Sigma(t)}}{1+d} & \text { for } p \in\{\rho, \varsigma\}, \\ 1+d\left(1-e^{-\lambda \Sigma(t)}\right) & \text { for } p=\varepsilon_{f}, \\ 1 & \text { for } p \in\left\{\varepsilon_{b}, B_{f}, B_{b}\right\},\end{array}\right.$

Total dose of solar radiation received during the flight

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma(t)=\frac{\widetilde{\Sigma}(t)}{\widetilde{\Sigma}_{0}}=\frac{r_{0}^{2}}{T_{0}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{\cos \theta(t)}{r(t)^{2}} d t \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{0}=365 \cdot 24 \cdot 3600 \mathrm{~s}-$ corresponds to one year in seconds.
where $\Sigma(t)$ - the dimensionless total dose of solar radiation received during the flight;
入 - degradation coefficient; $d$ - degradation factor.
The dimensionless total dose of solar radiation is calculated as the ratio of the total radiation power received by the sail during the flight to the solar radiation power received by a platform of 1 m 2 at a distance of 1 AU , for one year $\tilde{\Sigma}_{0}=15,768 \cdot 10^{12} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$.

The degradation coefficient $\lambda$ is determined based on half the lifetime of the sail under the influence of solar radiation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{\ln 2}{\hat{\Sigma}} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\Sigma}$ - the dose of solar radiation, which leads to a half deterioration of optical characteristics, that is, corresponds to the value of the optical characteristic.

$$
\hat{p}=\frac{p_{0}+p_{\infty}}{2} \text {. }
$$

## Degradation Factor

The degradation factor $d$ determines the value of the optical characteristic $p_{\infty}$, at which the sail should stop functioning. At the same time

$$
\rho_{\infty}=\frac{\rho_{0}}{1+d}, \quad \zeta_{\infty}=\frac{\varsigma_{0}}{1+d}, \quad \varepsilon_{f \infty}=\varepsilon_{f 0}(1+d)
$$

Even a preliminary analysis of formulas at Eq.11. - 14. shows that the acceleration from the solar sail, and, consequently, the laws of sail control and the corresponding trajectories of motion depend on the optical characteristics of the surface, and the optical characteristics, in turn, depend on the laws of control and flight path. Therefore, a comprehensive analysis of possible interplanetary missions of spacecraft with a solar sail requires taking into account all these interrelated parameters.

## Efficiency of gravitational manoeuvre.

## Mission Assumptions:

- Trajectory lies in the plane of the ecliptic.
- Earth's orbit is circular.
- Passive motion of the spacecraft after leaving Earth's sphere of action in an orbit with a large semi-axis of 1 AU.
- Next meeting with Earth occurs in a year.
- Variable parameters: eccentricity of Earth-to-Earth flight orbit and radius of pericentre of geocentric hyperbola during gravitational manoeuvre.


Dependence of the required velocity on the eccentricity of the transition orbit.
1 - Venus,
2 - Mars,
3 - Mercury, 4 - Asteroid belt, 5 - characteristic speed to create an Earth-Earth orbit with a given eccentricity

## Aphelion of Resulting Orbit



Aphelion of Resulting Orbit vs. Geocentric Perigee and Eccentricity of Transition shows aphelion radii of passive orbits achievable after gravitational manoeuvre.

- Higher aphelion achieved at smaller radii of geocentric pericenter for eccentricities $>0.15$.
- Selected eccentricity of the orbit yields maximum aphelion of 2.27 AU.


## Heliocentric Motion Control after Gravity Manoeuvre

Equations of motion and acceleration projections: Solar sail acceleration (a) has two projections: radial and transversal.

Equations (9) and (10) provide the scalar values of the projections.

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{r}=a_{\perp} \cos \theta+a_{\|}|\sin \theta|=a_{\perp} \cos \left(\lambda_{1}+\varphi\right)+a_{\|}\left|\sin \left(\lambda_{1}+\varphi\right)\right|  \tag{9}\\
& a_{u}=a_{\perp} \sin \theta-a_{\|} \cos \theta \cdot \operatorname{sign}(\theta)=a_{\perp} \sin \left(\lambda_{1}+\varphi\right)-a_{\|} \cos \left(\lambda_{1}+\varphi\right) \cdot \operatorname{sign}\left(\lambda_{1}+\varphi\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

We will look for the law of changing the angle of the sail $\lambda_{1} \in\left[-\frac{\pi}{2} ; \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$,such that it reaches the required orbit as soon as possible, that is, the boundary conditions in Eq. 2 are met and the minimum functionality is provided by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\int_{0}^{T} d t \rightarrow \min \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hamiltonian equation (12) derived from the system of equations.
$P_{r}, P_{u}, P_{V_{r}}, P_{V_{u}}$ - conjugate variables, $a_{c}$ - nominal maximum acceleration acting on the sail at a distance of 1 AU.

Control Law and Maximum Hamiltonian:
According to the Pontryagin maximum principle, control law maximizing the Hamiltonian is known.
Equation (13) defines $\lambda_{1}$ based on conjugate variables also eta is calculated based on conjugate variables

$$
\begin{gather*}
H=P_{r} \cdot V_{r}+P_{u} \cdot \frac{V_{u}}{r}+P_{V_{r}}\left(\frac{V_{u}^{2}}{r}-\frac{1}{r^{2}}+\frac{a_{c}}{r^{2}} \cos ^{3} \lambda_{1}\right)+P_{V_{u}}\left(-\frac{V_{u} V_{r}}{r}+\frac{a_{c}}{r^{2}} \cos ^{2} \lambda_{1} \sin \lambda_{1}\right) \\
H=V_{r} \Psi_{r}+\frac{V_{u}}{r} \Psi_{u}+\left(a_{c} \frac{\cos ^{3} \theta}{r^{2}}-\frac{1}{r^{2}}+\frac{V_{u}^{2}}{r}\right) \psi_{V r}+\left(a_{c} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta \sin \theta}{r^{2}}-\frac{V_{u} V_{r}}{r}\right) \psi_{V u}  \tag{12}\\
\lambda_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\eta-\arcsin \frac{P_{V_{u}}}{\sqrt[3]{P_{V_{r}}{ }^{2}+P_{V_{u}}{ }^{2}}}\right), \\
\text { where } \quad \eta=\arccos \frac{P_{V_{r}}}{\sqrt{P_{V_{r}}{ }^{2}+P_{V_{u}}{ }^{2}}} . \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

-Two-Point Boundary Value Problem:
Optimal control and trajectory determined by solving a two-point boundary value problem.
The system of equations is supplemented by differential equations (equation set given below) for conjugate variables. $\Rightarrow$
-Optimal Control with Varying Angular Range:
If the angular range of the flight is not fixed, finding optimal control becomes a three-parameter boundary value problem.
Initial values of conjugate variables are determined to satisfy boundary conditions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d P_{r}}{d t}=P_{V_{r}}\left(\frac{V_{u}^{2}}{r^{2}}-\frac{2}{r^{3}}\right)-P_{V_{u}} \frac{V_{r} V_{u}}{r^{2}}+\frac{2 a_{c}}{r^{3}} \cos ^{3} \lambda_{1} \\
& \frac{d P_{u}}{d t}=0 \Rightarrow \quad P_{u} \equiv \mathrm{const} \\
& \frac{d P_{V_{r}}}{d t}=-P_{r}+P_{V_{u}} \frac{V_{u}}{r} \\
& \frac{d P_{V_{u}}}{d t}=\frac{P_{V_{u}} V_{r}-2 P_{V_{r}} V_{u}}{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

## The results obtained during the simulation

Energy capabilities allow launch of spacecraft with eccentricity of 0.264.

Table 4: Parameters of spacecraft's heliocentric orbit before and after gravitational manoeuvre.

|  | Intermediate orbit <br> Earth-Earth | Orbit after gravitational <br> manoeuvre |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Big half-axis, million km | 149.6 | 255.75273 |
| Eccentricity | 0.264 | 0.41506 |
| The angle of the true anomaly, <br> deg | 105.308 | 37.233 |
| Radial component of the <br> spacecraft velocity, km/s | 7.863 | 4.802 |
| Transversal component of the <br> spacecraft velocity, km/s | 28.728 | 34.631 |

## Solar sail unfolds after gravitational manoeuvre




Figure 1: Optimal change in sail angle and spacecraft's radius vector.


Figure 2: Change in the semimajor axis and eccentricity of the spacecraft orbit

## Whole ballistic scheme of mission, accounting for sail surface degradation



Duration of spacecraft's movement to asteroid belt: 2116.08 days or approximately 5.8 years.

Total duration of launching spacecraft into working orbit is 6.8 years, considering passive motion before gravitational maneuver.

Trajectory of spacecraft with folded sail along intermediate heliocentric trajectory.

Transition to orbit in asteroid belt with epicenter of 3.6 AU and pericenter of 1.5 AU (purple dotted line).

Changes in trajectory due to soil degradation shown by orange dotted line.

## Conclusion

- Mathematical model of gravitational maneuver is constructed
(acceleration, velocity, optical parameters, eccentricity, angles, aphelion parameters)
- Deployment of small solar sail in the asteroid belt, long mission
- Modern solar sail


# MAXWELL EQUATIONS,THEIR HAMILTONIAN AND BIQUATERNIONIC FORMS AND PROPERTIES OF THEIR SOLUTIONS 

Classic system of Maxwell equations has the form: [Alexeyeva L.A.]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{rot} E=-\frac{\partial B}{\partial t}, \\
\operatorname{rot} H=\frac{\partial D}{\partial t}+j^{E}(x, t),  \tag{1}\\
\operatorname{div} D=\rho^{E}(x, t), \quad D=\varepsilon E,  \tag{2}\\
\operatorname{div} H=0, \quad B=\mu H,
\end{gather*}
$$

$x \in R^{3}, t \in R^{1}$. Here electric conductivity $\varepsilon$ and magnetic permeability $\mu$ are constants in isotropic EM-medium. Vectors $E, H$ are the tentions of electric and magnetic fields, $B$ is magnetic induction, $D$ is electric displacement, $j^{E}(x, t)$ are the density of electric currents, $\rho^{E}$ is the density of electric charges.

Symmetric form of Maxwell equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\varepsilon \partial_{t} E+\operatorname{rot} H=j^{E}(x, t), \quad \mu \partial_{t} H+\operatorname{rot} E=j^{H}(x, t),  \tag{3}\\
\varepsilon \operatorname{div} E=\rho^{E}(x, t), \quad-\mu \operatorname{div} H=\rho^{H}(x, t) \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

It's equivalent to MEqs when magnetic charges and currents are equal to zero:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{H}=0, \quad j^{H}=0 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The divergence from MEqs (3) gives charges conservation law:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \rho^{E}}{\partial t}+\operatorname{divj}{ }^{E}=0, \quad \frac{\partial \rho^{H}}{\partial t}+\operatorname{divj} j^{H}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Biquaternions

We consider the functional space of biquaternions (Bqs.) in Hamilton's form of quaternions representation [13]:

$$
\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{M})=\{\mathbf{F}=f(\tau, x)+F(\tau, x)\}
$$

on Minkowski space $\mathbb{M}=\left\{(\tau, x): x=\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_{j} e_{j}\right\}, f(\tau, x)$ is a complex functions, $F(\tau, x)$ is a three-dimensional complex vector-function. They are locally integrable and differentiable on $\mathbb{M}$ or, in general case, they are generalized functions $[9] ; 1, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ are the basic elements in biquaternions algebra. Summation and multiplication on $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{M})$ have the forms:

$$
\mathbf{F}+\mathbf{B}=(f+F)+(b+B) \triangleq(f+b)+(F+B),
$$

## Biquaternionic and hamiltonian form of MEqs

If to introduce the biquaternions of $E M$-field:
EM-tension

$$
\mathbf{A}=0+A=\sqrt{\varepsilon} E+i \sqrt{\mu} H
$$

charge-current

$$
\Theta=(i \rho+J)=i \rho^{E} / \sqrt{\varepsilon}+\sqrt{\mu} j^{E},
$$

energy-impuls

$$
\mathbf{\Xi}=0,5 \mathbf{A}^{*} \circ \mathbf{A}=0,5(\bar{A}, A)-0,5[A, \bar{A}]=W+i P
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
W=\frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon\|E\|^{2}+\mu\|H\|^{2}\right) \text { is a density of energy of EM-field }, \\
P=c^{-1}[E, H] \text { is Pointing vector },
\end{gathered}
$$

then the Maxwell equations can be written in the form of the biwave equation:
Biquaternonic form of Maxwell equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{+} \mathbf{A}=-\boldsymbol{\Theta} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Generalized solutions of MEqs biform

Solutions of MEqs biform are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}=-\nabla^{-}(\psi * \boldsymbol{\Theta})+\mathbf{A}^{0} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where spinor $\mathbf{A}^{0}$ is arbitrary solution of homogeneous Maxwell equation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{A}^{0}=\nabla^{-} \psi^{0}+i \sum_{j=1}^{3} \nabla^{-}\left(\psi^{j} e_{j}\right)  \tag{21}\\
\square \psi^{j}=0, \quad j=0,1,2,3,4  \tag{22}\\
\psi^{j}=\int_{R^{3}} \varphi^{j}(\xi) \exp (-i(\xi, x)-i\|\xi\| t) d \xi_{1} d \xi_{2} d \xi_{3}, \quad \forall \varphi^{j}(\xi) \in L_{1}\left(R^{3}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

## LIGHT AS A PHOTONS CLOUD AND ITS BIQUATERNIONIC REPRESENTATION

Let consider the photons emitted by monochromatic charges-currents $\boldsymbol{\Theta}(x, \omega) \exp (-i \omega \tau)$. They have the following biquaternionic representation through eElementary photons by use biquaternionic convolution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Phi}(x, \omega)=i \boldsymbol{\Theta}(x . \omega) * \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\omega}(x) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For regular biquaternions this convolution has the next integral representation:

$$
\boldsymbol{\Phi}(x, \omega)=i \int_{R^{3}} \boldsymbol{\Theta}(x-y, \omega) \circ \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\omega}^{0}(y, \omega) d y_{1} d y_{2} d y_{3}
$$

Example 2 (light sphere): $\boldsymbol{\Theta}(x, \omega)=a \delta(b-\|x\|)$. This is simple layer on sphere - singular generalized function. Photons claud is described by next biquaternion:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi(x, \omega)=\phi(x, \omega)+\Phi(x, \omega) \\
\Phi(x, \omega)=-\frac{i}{4 \pi} \delta(b-r)^{*} *\left\{\frac{\omega e^{i \omega r}}{r}+\operatorname{grad} \frac{e^{i \omega r}}{r}\right\}=  \tag{20}\\
=-\frac{i}{4 \pi}\left\{\delta(b-r) * \frac{\omega e^{i \omega r}}{r}+\operatorname{grad}\left(\frac{e^{i \omega r}}{r} * \delta(b-r)\right)\right\}, \\
\phi(x, \omega)=\frac{e^{i \omega r}}{2 \omega r}\left\{b \cos \omega b-i\left(r+\frac{1}{\omega}\right) \sin \omega b\right\}, \\
\Phi(x, \omega)=\frac{e^{i \omega r}}{2 r}\left\{\left(i-\frac{1}{\omega r}\right)\left\{\left(r+\frac{1}{\omega}\right) \sin \omega b+i b \cos \omega b\right\}+\frac{\sin \omega b}{\omega}\right\} e_{x}
\end{gather*}
$$

Example 3 (light ring): $\boldsymbol{\Theta}(x, \omega)=a \delta\left(b-\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}\right) \delta\left(x_{3}\right)$. This is simple layer on the ring in horizontal plane - singular generalized function. Photons cloud is described by next

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { biquaternion: } \boldsymbol{\Phi}(x, \omega)=-\frac{i}{4 \pi} H\left(b-\|x\|_{2}\right) \delta\left(x_{3}\right) *\left\{\frac{\omega e^{i \omega r}}{r}+\operatorname{grad} \frac{e^{i \omega r}}{r}\right\}= \\
& =-\frac{i \omega}{4 \pi} H\left(b-\|x\|_{2}\right)_{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}^{*} \frac{e^{i \omega r}}{r}+\frac{i}{4 \pi}\left(e_{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0\right)} \delta\left(b-\|x\|_{2}\right)_{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}^{*} \frac{e^{i \omega r}}{r}\right)-\frac{i e_{3}}{4 \pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}\left(H\left(b-\|x\|_{2}\right)_{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}^{*} \frac{e^{i \omega r}}{r}\right), \\
& \phi(x, \omega)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i \omega \sqrt{\| \| \|_{2}^{2}+\left(x_{3}-b\right)^{2}}}-e^{i \omega\| \| \|}\right)+\frac{i \omega x_{3}}{2} \int_{\|x\|}^{\sqrt{\|l\|_{2}^{2}+\left(x_{3}-b\right)^{2}}} \frac{e^{i \omega \zeta}}{\sqrt{\zeta^{2}-\|x\|_{2}^{2}}} d \zeta,\|x\|_{2}=\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}} \\
& \Phi(x, \omega)=\frac{i}{4 \pi} e_{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0\right)} \delta\left(b-\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}\right)_{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}^{*} \frac{e^{i \omega r}}{r}-\frac{i e_{3}}{4 \pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}\left(H\left(b-\|x\|_{2}\right)_{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}^{*} \frac{e^{i \omega r}}{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we use spherical coordinate system $(r, \theta, \varphi)$ with vertical axis $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ and next designations:

$$
\cos \theta=\frac{x_{3}}{\|x\|}, \quad\|x\|_{2}=\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}, \quad y=\left(y_{1} y_{2}, 0\right), \quad r(y)=\sqrt{v^{2}+y_{2}^{2}}
$$
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