2018-2019 CUE FUNDING REPORT

Note: The purposes of this report are to specify institutional priorities for CUE funding, document CUE-funded activities, and report on progress towards goals and challenges related to CUE-funded activities. This report is not intended to provide a comprehensive account of undergraduate priorities, high impact practices or progress toward particular institutional goals, other than those established specifically for CUE-funded activities.

COLLEGE: <u>NEW YORK CITY COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY</u>

REPORT SUBMITTED BY: Pamela Brown & Lauri Aguirre

DATE SUBMITTED: July 17, 2019

<u>DISCLAIMER:</u> Historic data presented in the Institutional Enrollment, Persistence, and Graduation tables as well as the Academic Momentum tables may contain small changes when compared to previous reports. These changes accurately reflect the official University reporting of these metrics in the OIRA Student Data Book and University Performance Monitoring Project 2018-2019 Report (PMP).

INSTITUTIONAL ENROLLMENT DATA (source: OIRA Student Data Book)

	Fall 2016	Fall 2017	Fall 2018
Undergraduate enrollment (headcount)	17,282	17,279	17,269
Undergraduate enrollment (FTE)	12,918	13,019	12,749

INSTITUTIONAL PERSISTENCE DATA (source: 2018-2019 PMP Report)

	Entering Class	Entering Class	Entering Class
	of Fall 2015	of Fall 2016	of Fall 2017
One-year retention rate (all students)	60.3	62.7	57.5

Note: Retention rates calculated as the percentage of fall full-time first-time freshmen still enrolled at the college of entry in the subsequent fall term and have not yet earned the degree pursued.

INSTITUTIONAL GRADUATION DATA (BACCALAUREATE PROGRAMS) (source: 2018-2019 PMP Report)

	Entering Class	Entering Class	Entering Class
	of Fall 2012	of Fall 2013	of Fall 2014
Four-year graduation rate (baccalaureate	7.2	6.0	5.8
programs)			
	Entering Class	Entering Class	Entering Class
	of Fall 2010	of Fall 2011	of Fall 2012
Six-year graduation rate (baccalaureate	24.7	26.2	27.2
programs)			

Note: Graduation rates are calculated as the percentage of fall full-time first-time freshmen who earn the degree pursued or higher at the college of entry within four or six years.

<u>INSTITUTIONAL GRADUATION DATA (ASSOCIATE PROGRAMS)</u> (source: 2018-2019 PMP Report)

Two was and hasting asta (see siste and see see	Entering Class of Fall 2014	Entering Class of Fall 2015	Entering Class of Fall 2016
Two-year graduation rate (associate programs)	1.0	2.3	2.3
	Entering Class of Fall 2013	Entering Class of Fall 2014	Entering Class of Fall 2015
Three-year graduation rate (associate programs)	6.6	7.4	10.4

Note: Graduation rates are calculated as the percentage of fall full-time first-time freshmen who earn the degree pursued or higher at the college of entry within two or three years.

ACADEMIC MOMENTUM DATA (BACCALAUREATE PROGRAMS) (source: 2018-2019 PMP Report)

	Entering Class	Entering Class	Entering Class
	of Fall 2015	of Fall 2016	of Fall 2017
% Fall full-time FTF completing 20 credits within the first year ¹	65.7	65.2	59.5
% Fall full-time FTF completing 30 credits	30.2	29.5	28.1
within the first year ¹			
% Fall full-time FTF completing Pathways			
Math and/or Quantitative Reasoning within the	72.5	70.8	57.8
first year ²			
% Fall full-time FTF completing Pathways	83.5	83.0	77.7
English Composition within the first year ²			

¹ Completion of 20 and 30 credits is based on cumulative credits earned at *any* college at the end of the first year, including prematriculation.

ACADEMIC MOMENTUM DATA (ASSOCIATE PROGRAMS) (source: 2018-2019 PMP Report)

% Fall full-time FTF completing 20 credits	Entering Class of Fall 2015	Entering Class of Fall 2016	Entering Class of Fall 2017
within the first year ¹	38.7	39.6	40.7
% Fall full-time FTF completing 30 credits within the first year ¹	11.6	11.8	15.4
% Fall full-time FTF completing Pathways Math and/or Quantitative Reasoning within the first year ²	37.9	39.1	42.2
% Fall full-time FTF completing Pathways English Composition within the first year ²	71.3	73.0	69.1

¹ Completion of 20 and 30 credits is based on cumulative credits earned at *any* college end of the first year, including prematriculation.

² Completion of the Pathways MQR and EC means that a student received a passing grade in an approved pathways course (including credit via AP or transfer) at *any* college at *any* time before the end of the first year (including prior to matriculation).

² Completion of the Pathways MQR and EC means that a student received a passing grade in an approved pathways course (including credit via AP or transfer) at *any* college at *any* time before the end of the first year (including prior to matriculation).

SUMMER IMMERSION DATA (source: Office of Undergraduate Studies)

	Total Enrollments	Students Served	Students Enrolled	% Enrolled in the
	(Seats)	(Headcount)	in the Fall	Fall
SUM 16	1,269	1,225	1,111	90.7
SUM 17	889	868	759	87.4
SUM 18 ¹	551	517	432	83.6

¹Preliminary data

NON-SUMMER IMMERSION DATA* (source: Office of Undergraduate Studies)

	Total Enrollments (Seats)	Students Served (Headcount)
NON-SUM 16-17	211	205
NON-SUM 17-18	256	246
NON-SUM 18-19 ¹	170	169

^{*}Non-Summer includes Immersion interventions offered during Fall, Winter, and Spring semesters.

PERCENTAGE OF FALL FTF IN ASSOCIATE PROGRAMS WITH INITIAL REMEDIAL NEED WHO EXIT REMEDIATION VIA THE UNIVERSITY SKILLS IMMERSION PROGRAM (USIP)* (source: Office of Undergraduate Studies and 2018-2019 PMP Report)

	Fall 2016	Fall 2017	Fall 2018 ¹
MATH	15.8	8.5	8.1
READING	14.9	14.7	17.5
WRITING	9.9	11.0	10.0

^{*} This table reports the percent of fall first-time freshmen with known remedial need in a subject who participated and received a passing grade in immersion offerings that include CUNY Proficiency Exam (e.g., CEAFE, CATW) during the summer immediately preceding their fall enrollment. Note that students may have completed summer immersion at the college other than the college of fall enrollment.

¹ Preliminary data

¹ Preliminary Data

I. CUE BUDGET REPORT

Please fill out and submit the attached Excel spreadsheet and enter summary data from the spreadsheet for each area below. Note that we are requesting totals for Non-CUE funds that were allocated in each area here and not in the budget spreadsheet.

TOTAL 2018-2019 CUE ALLOCATION: <u>\$751,113</u> (source: OAA)

	CUE Allocation			CUE Allocatio			Non-CUE fu	nds Allocated] **
Goal #1 Summary	PS	OTPS	Total	PS	OTPS	Total			
Instruction (direct instruction on	\$266,995.00	\$ 0.00	\$345,684.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00			
Tutoring (actual tutoring only)	\$ 8,955.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00			
Training/Development/Support	\$158,495.00	\$34,097.00	\$190,645.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00			
Goal #1 Total	\$434,445.00	\$34,097.00	\$466,595.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00			

^{**} Please include totals for <u>funds other than CUE</u> that were allocated to support these initiatives

	CUE Allocation			Non-CUE fun	ds Allocated	**
Goal #2 Summary	PS	OTPS	Total	PS	OTPS	Total
First-Year Initiatives	\$176,353.00	\$13,678.00	\$190,032.00	\$ 83,000.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 83,000.00
Student Success Initiatives	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Goal #2 Total	\$176,353.00	\$13,678.00	\$190,032.00	\$ 83,000.00	\$0.00	\$ 83,000.00

^{**} Please include <u>funds other than CUE</u> that were allocated to support these initiatives

	CUE Allocation			Non-CUE funds Allocated**		
Goal #3 Summary	PS	OTPS	Total	PS	OTPS	Total
Academic Support Services and Initiatives	\$27,310.00	\$6,840.00	\$34,150.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Tutoring & Supplemental Instruction	\$53,876.00	\$6,300.00	\$60,176.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Goal #3 Total	\$81,186.00	\$13,140.00	\$94,326.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

^{**} Please include funds other than CUE that were allocated to support these initiatives

2018-2019 CUE FUNDING REPORT TEMPLATE Reports Due July 26, 2019

Submit electronically to zhanna.kushmakova@cuny.edu

II. Report on Outcomes for 2018-2019 CUE FUNDING GOALS

The annual goals and evidence you submitted are listed in the columns. Please briefly report on the outcomes of each goal and if it was met-- based on the evidence you proposed in your goal submission document-- in the column on the right. (Please refer to your goal submission document to review the evidence you cited)

<u>OAA PRIORITY AREA I</u>: Immersion and summer bridge programs with the broad goals of improving college readiness and accelerating progress through remediation and into credit-bearing gateway courses. Community and comprehensive colleges should include a goal related to your plans and commitment to providing opportunities for students who intend to pursue a non-algebra intensive major that is aligned with the students' intended path of study. In addition, community and comprehensive colleges should include at least one goal related to the development of corequisite remediation (both math and English) to address the needs of students placed into remediation that cannot be addressed with pre-matriculation opportunities.

Institutional Mission	Key Activities/ Goals	Measurables/Evidence	Outcomes/ Use of Results	
Program Goal				
CUNY PMP Goals	I. Accelerate student	I. First Year Programs will provide	I. Immersion	
Access and	progress through	immersion opportunities for incoming and	Summer 2018 immersion proficiency was earned as follows:	
Completion	remediation in	continuing freshmen with retesting	Full length courses: 247/440 (56%)	
College Readiness	immersion programs	workshops and developmental courses. We	Express classes: 59/107 (55%)	
		will provide the maximum number of	Retest prep workshop: 15/25 (60%)	
		students developmental reading, writing		
City Tech Strategic		and math courses and workshops in the	Winter 2019 proficiency was earned as follows:	
Goals		January immersion and summer immersion	Express classes: 74/109 (68%)	
Increase student		programs by aggressively recruiting and	Retest Prep workshop: 5/6 (83%)	
success and enhance		notifying students of opportunities via		
students' academic		email, awareness posters and fliers,	(See APPENDIX, Table A for data).	
and co-curricular		utilizing CUNYfirst in conjunction with the		
experience		New Student Center, the Testing office, the	GOAL met. A total of 400 students participating in various	
		Registrar, and the English and math	immersion interventions achieved proficiency in reading, writing	
		departments.	or mathematics during AY 2018-2019 (400/687; 58%)	
		Pass rates shown will be at or above the		
		college average with a greater number of	Additional Summer Immersion Results	
		immersion participants earning proficiency	Comparison Data of FYSP 2018 with college Fall 2018 results:	
		in Reading, Writing, and Math.	FYSP 2018 shows that immersion results are higher in 5 out of 10	
		Target: 49% or more of incoming and	similar developmental and ESOL similar courses:	
		continuing students will earn proficiency	• 10% higher – ENG90R	
		and be prepared for credit bearing	• 7% higher- ENG92R	
		coursework by participating in immersion	10% higher- ENG92W	
		opportunities.	• 23% higher- MAT650	
		(see data appendix)	11% higher- ESOL21W	
			2% lower- ESOL22R	
			• 12% lower- ENG90W	

 7% lower - MAT630 (the structure and teaching method of this course was changed to MAT650CO in Fall 2018 which may account for the fall result improvement) 14% lower- ESOL12R 3% lower- ESOL31W (See APPENDIX, Table B.1., B.2., B.3. for data)
GOAL met. Immersion pass rates show greater rate of success than college average. Use of results: Refine and continue immersion opportunities

CUNY PMP Goals	II. Co-Requisite	II. Support will be provided for faculty to	II. Co-Requisite Remediation	
Access and	Remediation	develop and further refine developmental	Results:	
Completion	MAT1275-CO	co-requisite models in mathematics and	MAT1275-CO had 48% A-C grades in F18 with N=507	
College Readiness	MAT1190-CO	English. Peer leaders and peer tutors will be	MAT1275-CO had 54% A-C grades in F17 with N=86	
	ENG1101-CO	provided to the math co-requisite model	MAT1190-CO had 51% A-C grades in F18 with N=29	
		workshops for academic support.	MAT1190-CO had 64% A-C grades in F17 with N=16	
			(See APPENDIX, Table D.1.)	
		Results will demonstrate an increase of 5%		
		C or better grades in co-requisite College	GOAL in progress: While the percentage passing (A-C) was lower	
		Algebra and Trigonometry (MAT1275-CO)	in MAT1275-CO in F18/F17 (48% v. 54%) the number of students	
		and Quantitative Reasoning (MAT1190-CO)	who benefitted increased, from 86 to 507, as more sections were	
		from Fall 2017 to Fall 2018.	rolled out. Similarly, MAT1190-CO in F18/F17 had A-C passing	
			rates of 51% v. 64%, the number of students increased from 16	
		Target: 72% (N=25) A-C grades in	(F17) to 29 (F18).	
		MAT1190-CO and 63% (N=158) A-C grades	Use of results: Further investigation will be needed to consider	
		in MAT1275-CO	what factors could help improve the pass rate.	
			ENG1101-CO-Curriculum has been developed and instructors	
			provided with professional development (Spring 2019).	
			To be piloted in Fall 2019.	

<u>OAA PRIORITY AREA II</u>: First-year and student success initiatives including programs for new transfer students, with the broad goal of improving first-to-second year retention rates for both native and transfer students.

Institutional Mission Program Goal	Key Activities/ Goals	Measurables/Evidence	Outcomes/ Use of Results
CUNY PMP Goals	I. A combined First	I. FYE and Momentum strategies for an	I. First Year Experience (FYE)
College Readiness	Year Experience (FYE)	enhanced orientation experience,	I.A. Orientation, August 2018
Access and	faculty and City Tech	"student ready department" faculty	Each of the 32 academic departments (faculty leaders and
Completion	Academic Momentum	advisement training, and utilization of	students) contributed to planning orientation activities during the
	(formerly Project	degree maps will guide the student's path	scheduled orientation in each department.
City Tech Strategic	Wayfinding)	from orientation through timely	38% of 1st year/first-time invited students participated
Goals	committee will	graduation.	(857/2253). [Note: due to the influx of late registrants, not all FY
Increase student	support student	Professional development will be provided	students are enrolled in time to be invited.]
success and enhance	success, improve	via multiple platforms along with	Faculty leader in each department was designated as a First Year
students' academic	student learning, and	A. Participation in a further improved	Experience (FYE) Liaison.
and co-curricular	retention through key	freshman orientation experience;	I.B. Fall 2018: FYE Liaisons reviewed August orientation activities
experience	activities by:	B. Cyclical training for department	for improvements in 2019-2020.
	 Providing an 	faculty liaisons and advisors;	Student Success Partnership, a cross-campus initiative for faculty
	enhanced	C. Use of degree maps across all	and staff from Academic Affairs, Enrollment Management and
	freshman	academic departments as part of	Student Affairs, emerged from Momentum and FYE committee
	orientation	their advisement process	meetings to increase retention, graduation of students and
	experience	encouraging 30 credits per year.	address the Middle States recommendations.
	tailored to		Spring 2019: As part of the Student Success Partnership, the newly
	academic	Target: Maintain one-year retention rate	formed Student-Ready College committee comprised of 52 faculty
	programs.	of at least 78.5% bachelor's and 59.1%	and staff representatives from Academic Affairs, Enrollment
	 Promoting a 	associate degree first-time, full-time,	Management and Student Affairs was provided three workshops
	positive	degree-seeking freshmen entering college	on Student Experience, College Resources and Communication.
	advisement	with no prior college credits	I.C. New web resource, Academic Advising web pages, developed
	culture that	Additional anticipated outcome will be an	with Degree Maps: Presented to department colleagues by FYE
	invites and	increased number of credits earned each	Liaisons to use with students and as advisement tool. Momentum
	encourages	semester. Baseline data of 12.2 was	Committee, composed of various Senior Staff from Academic
	students to	established from a fall 2017 cohort.	Affairs and Enrollment Management/Student Affairs, provided
	participate in		dissemination of web resource to Holistic Student Supports (HSS)
	the timely		in Student Affairs office.
	accumulation		http://www.citytech.cuny.edu/advisement/
	of credits.		
			GOALS met:

Disseminating	A. Orientations held		
degree maps	B. Professional development was provided		
and	C. Degree map information was disseminated.		
advisement	GOALS not met:		
tools through	Fall 2017 Associate FTFTF retention = 52.8% (reduced by 6.3%		
all portals of	from Fall 2016 (59.1%))		
information	Fall 2017 Bachelor FTFTF retention = 68.8% (reduced by 9.7%		
(college	from Fall 2016 (78.5%))		
website,			
catalog,	Fall 2018 average number of credits: 10.8		
Guidebook	GOAL not met by 1.4 credits. (NOTE: We have observed that		
app, all	overall Fall 2018 FTF results were lower than previous years)		
academic			
departments,	Use of results:		
faculty	A. Extend Orientation process		
advisement	B. Advance Student Success Partnership professional		
liaisons, New	development		
Student	C. Continue to refine Academic Advising webpage and		
Center, ASAP,	degree maps and disseminate for broader usage		
SEEK)			

CUNY PMP Goals	II. Provide First Year	II. FYLC students will show improved	A. First Year Learning Communities	
Access and	Learning Communities	momentum and academic success.	NYCCT offered a total of 19 learning communities during AY 2018-	
Completion	to incoming fall and	Target: 500 students enrolled in FYLCs in	19 to 378 students.	
College Readiness	spring students	2018-19 will demonstrate the following		
		success rates:	GOAL not met. FYLC did not meet the enrollment target of 500	
City Tech Strategic		 Maintain # credits (13.1 FYLC Fall 	students. However, we ran 7 more learning communities and	
Goals		2017, N=278)	enrolled 62 more students than AY 2017-2018.	
II. Increase student		 Improve retention (increase by 3% 		
success and enhance		from 85% to 88% FYLC Fall 2017,	Results:	
students' academic		N=278)	FYLC students earned 2.5 fewer credits (FYLC Fall 2018 10.6	
and co-curricular		 Improve number of C or better 	credits vs FYLC Fall 2017 13.1 credits)	
experience		grades (increase of 5% from 77%		
		to 82% Fall 2017, N=278)		

Education Goals Work productively within and across disciplines.	mprove III	 Develop at least one new learning community pairing for implementation in fall 2019 to provide more students' access to learning communities. Faculty will provide evidence of student learning by posting examples on the FYLC OpenLab site. I. The implementation of a reverse	FYLC student retention was 6% lower than the target (Fall 2018 82% retained (409/497)) 69% (343/497) FYLC students earned A-C grades earned passing grades. Target of 82% not met. (See APPENDIX, Table C.1.) Development: 3 new FYLCs were created for implementation in Fall 2019 (2 more than the target) ARCH101 WITH MAT1275C0 Architectural Technology & Math: So Many Buildings, So Little Time! ENG1101 AND COMM1101 Communication & English: Writing Out Loud! LAW1101 AND ENG1101 Law and Paralegal Services & English: Fight for your Rights! (See APPENDIX, page 6) Evidence of FYLC student learning can be observed on the FYLC OpenLab site. https://openlab.citytech.cuny.edu/fylc-faculty/category/fall-2018/ (See APPENDIX, C.2.) GOALS were met in some, but not all areas. (NOTE: We have observed that overall Fall 2018 FTF results were lower than previous years) Use of results: Investigate the drop in results and continue First Year Learning Communities.
Access and grad	duation rates of transfer students. w	ransfer auditing process will increase the umber of associate degrees awarded. We will identify and reach out to students who transferred from City Tech associate degree programs who have subsequently	The reverse transfer auditing process yielded the following results: Summer 2018: 73 students Fall 2018: 341 students Spring 2019: 313 students

enrolled at a CUNY campus and are now	Total of 727 students were awarded an associate degree AY 2018-	
eligible for their associate degree.	19	
Target: 75 or more reverse transfer associate degrees will be awarded.	GOAL exceeded by 652 reverse transfer associate degrees awarded.	
	Use of results: Continue reverse transfer degree strategy.	

OAA PRIORITY AREA III: Academic support services, with the broad goal of enhancing the impact of academic support services on student success in targeted areas.

Institutional Mission	Key Activities/ Goals	Measurables/Evidence	Outcomes/ Use of Results	
Program Goal				
CUNY PMP Goals	I. Academic support	I.A. College Algebra and Trigonometry	I.A. Co-Req Math courses with Peer Leaders	
Access and	will be provided for	(MAT1275-CO) and Quantitative	MAT 1275-CO: F18: sections with Peer Leaders had a 48% pass	
Completion	Mathematics, ESOL and	Reasoning (MAT1190-CO) with PLTL	rate (A-C) with 463 students; sections with no Peer Leader had a	
	Developmental Writing	courses will show 5% or higher ABC pass	40% pass rate (A-C) with 44 students. [F17: sections had PLs]	
College Readiness	students through	rates than non-participating MAT1275-	MAT1190-CO: sections in F18 and F17 had PLs.	
City Tech Strategic	targeted initiatives.	CO and MAT1190-CO sections.	(See APPENDIX, Table D.1.)	
Goals	A. College Algebra and			
Increase student	Trigonometry (1275-	I.B. Mathematics Preparatory Bridge	GOAL MET: Sections of 1275-CO with PLs had 8% higher pass rate	
success and enhance	CO) and Quantitative	workshop participants will show an	than sections without PL.	
students' academic	Reasoning (MAT1190)	increase of 10% or higher pass rates than		
and co-curricular	with Peer-Led Team	non-attendees in the following math	Use of results: Continue use of Peer Leaders in CO-req Math	
experience	Learning (PLTL)	courses: College Algebra and	courses.	
	B. Mathematics	Trigonometry (MAT1275), Pre-calculus		
	Preparatory Bridge	(MAT1375), Calculus I (MAT1475) and	I.B. Mathematics Preparatory Bridge workshops (a 12-hour "just	
	workshops	Calculus II (MAT1575).	in time" workshop reviewing prerequisite skills needed to	
	C. ESOL and		succeed in the course offered just before the semester begins)	
	Developmental writing	I.C. The ESOL and Developmental Writing	showed increased A-D pass rates for attendees than non-	
	supplemental academic	programs will offer specialized academic	attendees.	
	support	support to improve results for all writing		
		students enrolled in ESOL 011W,	ABCD Pass Rates	
		ESOL21W and ESOL31W, ENG090W and	• MAT 1190 - 21% increase (n=28)	
		ENG092W in the Fall 2018 and Spring	• MAT 1175 - 31% increase (n=21)	
		2019 semesters where participants will	• MAT 1275 - 9% increase (n=57)	
		show 10% or better course pass rates	• MAT 1375 - 3% increase (n=31)	
			• MAT 1475 - 8% decrease (n=36)	

		than nonparticipants from Spring 2018. (target 200 students)	MAT 1575 - 22% decrease (n=26) (See APPENDIX, Table D.2.)
			GOAL met for all Mathematics Preparatory Bridge workshops except for MAT 1475 and 1575.
			Use of Results: The nine-hour math prep workshop will continue next academic year with an emphasis on increasing participation.
			I.C. ESOL and Developmental writing academic support ESOL31W: increase 17% (Fall 2018, N=12, increase 8% (Spring 2019, N=4) vs Spring 2018 67% (N=18)
			ESOL21W: increase 22% (Fall 2018, N=50, increase 27% (Spring 2019, N=50) vs Spring 2018 53% (N=72)
			ESOL11W: decrease 12% (Fall 2018, N=38, decrease 29% (Spring 2019, N=13) vs Spring 2018 83% (N=24)
			ENG092W/090W: increase 24% (Fall 2018, N=175, increase 16% (Spring 2019, N=68) vs Spring 2018 43% (N=175)
			(See APPENDIX, Table D.3.)
			GOAL exceeded in all writing ESOL and Developmental writing courses except for level 1 ESOL Writing. Target of 200 participants exceeded by 229 (429 students participated)
			Use of results: Continue writing academic support model
CUNY PMP Goals	II. Increase academic	II. Increase the number of Honors	II. Honors Scholars
Access and Completion	support services to challenge students through the Honors	Scholars participating in undergraduate research (Honors in a Regular Course, Honors courses, and institutional	NYCCT's Honors Scholars Program engaged 595 Honors Scholars in AY 2018-2019, an increase of 80% more students than AY2017-2018 (N=434).
City Tech Strategic	Scholars Program (HSP)	research programs) by 1% (target	

with particular emphasis

Goals

Increase student success and enhance students' academic	on conducting undergraduate research.	approximately 34 students out of 434 Honors students).	Students who completed their honors contract in a regular course increased from 53 in AY 2017-2018 to 57 in AY 2018-2019, an increase of 9.3%.
and co-curricular experience			Honors Scholars participants in undergraduate research in AY 2018-2019 totaled 62 students, 27 in Fall 2018 and 35 in Spring 2019.
			GOAL MET: 10% of the 595 Honors Scholars involved in undergraduate research, a 55% increase in number of students involved in undergraduate research over AY2017-2018 (34 students). (Undergraduate Research was funded by the Emerging Scholars Program (ESP), CUNY Research Scholars Program (CRSP), and the Louis-Stokes Alliance for Minority Participation (LSAMP) program.)
			Use of results: Continue to engage high achieving students in Honors Scholars activities. Agreement with Registrar to offer Honors students early registration significantly increased participation.

Appendix: NYCCT CUE Report 2018-2019

A. Immersion Enrollment Numbers and Percentages 2015-2019

Immersion Enrollment January

Immersion Program /Year*	January 2015	January 2016	January 2017	January 2018	January 2019
Total # Enrolled	203	111	109	138	99
Total % of Eligible Enrolled	77% (203/262)	85% (111/131)	72% (109/151)	49% (138/280)	45% (99/221)

Immersion Enrollment June

Immersion Program /Year*	June 2015	June 2016	June 2017	June 2018
Total # Enrolled	189	201	204	257
Total % of Eligible Enrolled	45% (189/419)	49% (201/448)	57% (204/355)	42%(257/613)

Immersion Enrollment (July/Aug)

Immersion Program /Year*	July/Aug 2015	July/Aug 2016	July/Aug 2017	July/Aug 2018
Total # Enrolled	1043	924	568	772
Total % of Eligible Enrolled	52% (1043/2018)	50% (924/1833)	47% (568/1212)	46% (389/841)

^{*} Immersion program populations are as follows:

January and June are offered to Continuing first year students only

January is for high fails from Fall semester, June is for all Spring semester students still needing remedial coursework

July/Aug is for Incoming first year students

B. 1. Immersion Results and Pass Rates 2016-2019

Immersion Program /Year	January 2016	June 2016	July/ Aug 2016	January 2017	June 2017	July/ Aug 2017	January 2018	June 2018	July/ Aug 2018	January 2019
Course/Subject: Reading (1)										
# Completed Course	25	13	68	13	13	27	16	5	27	12**
Pass rate (Exit remediation)	76% (19/25)	31% (4/13)	57% (39/68)	92% (12/13)	92% (12/13)	74% (20/27)	94% (15/16)	80% (4/5)	74% (20/27)	58% (7/12)
Course/Subject: Writing (2)										
# Completed Course	54	30	91	58	19	57	36	9	45	30**
Pass rate (Exit remediation)	55% (29/53)	70% (21/30)	57% (52/91)	50% (28/56)	74% (14/19)	75% (43/57)	81% (29/36)	100% (9/9)	84% (38/45)	80% (24/30)
Course/Subject: Math (3)										
# Completed Course	32	113	718	38	134	324	85	93	165	66
Pass rate (Exit remediation)	84% (27/32)	72% (81/113)	46% (333/718)	86% (31/36)	74% (99/134)	43% (138/324)	58% (49/85)	48% (45/93)	47% (77/165)	65% (43/66)
Course/Subject: Lower Level Reading (4)	0.78 (27702)	(02) 220)	(888) / 18)	00/0 (02/00)	(33/12-1)	(133/31.)	30,0 (13,00)	1070 (13730)	1170 (117 2007	0078 (10700)
# Completed Course	N/O	N/O	24	N/O	N/O	41	N/O	N/O	41	N/O
Pass rate (Advance level)	N/O	N/O	71% (17/24)	N/O	N/O	66% (27/41)	N/O	N/O	66% (27/41)	N/O
Course/Subject: Lower Level Writing (5)										
# Completed Course	N/O	N/O	8	N/O	N/O	7	N/O	N/O	7	N/O
Pass rate (Advance level)	N/O	N/O	100% (8/8)	N/O	N/O	100% (7/7)	N/O	N/O	100% (7/7)	N/O
Course/Subject: ESL Reading (6)										
# Completed Course	N/O	10	28	N/O	N/O	30	N/O	N/O	42	N/O
Pass rate (Advance level)	N/O	90% (9/10)	75% (21/28)	N/O	N/O	67% (20/30)	N/O	N/O	81% (34/42)	N/O
Course/Subject: ESL Writing (7)										
# Completed Course	N/O	11	17	N/O	13	17	N/O	23	21	N/O
Pass rate (Advance level)	N/O	82% (9/11)	88% (15/17)	N/O	77% (10/13)	88% (15/17)	N/O	100% (23/23)	90% (19/21)	N/O
Course/Subject: ESL Writing 3 (8)										
# Completed Course	N/O	11	N/O	N/O	17	N/O	N/O	7	N/O	N/O
Pass rate (Exit remediation)	N/O	27% (3/11)	N/O	N/O	56% (9/16)	N/O	N/O	71% (5/7)	N/O	N/O

N/O- Not offered

- (1) Includes the following courses: ENG92R, ENG925R (result indicates exit from remediation)
- (2) Includes the following courses: ENG92W, ENG925W (result indicates exit from remediation)
- (3) Includes the following courses: MAT63, MAT65, MAT675(result indicates exit from remediation)
- (4) Includes the following courses: ENG090R (result indicates passing lower level and advancing to next level; does not indicate exit from remediation)
- (5) Includes the following courses: ENG090W (result indicates passing lower level and advancing to next level; does not indicate exit from remediation)
- (6) Includes the following courses: ESOL12R, ESOL22R (result indicates passing lower level and advancing to next level; does not indicate exit from remediation)
- (7) Includes the following courses: ESOL21W (result indicates passing lower level and advancing to next level; does not indicate exit from remediation)
- (8) Includes the following courses: ESOL31W (result indicates exit from remediation)

B.2. FYSP 2018 & 2017 Immersion Results with Comparison College Fall Results

FYSP 2018 Immersion Results with Comparison College Fall Results

COURSE	FYSP 2018 RESULTS	↑ or ↓	COLLEGE RESULTS FALL 2018 (AIRE DATA)
	% passed (N)		% passed (N)
ENG90R	64% (39)	个10	54% (65)
ENG92R	82% (28)	个7	75% (37)
ENG90W	88% (8)	↓12	100% (23)
ENG92W	78% (37)	个10	68% (152)
MAT630/650CO	29% (154)	NA	course change, invalid data
MAT650	49% (80)	↑23	26% (655)
ESOL12R	65% (20)	↓14	79% (41)
ESOL22R	91% (23)	↓ 2	93% (30)
ESOL21W	95% (44)	↑11	84% (69)
ESOL31W	57% (7)	↓ 3	60% (12)

Passing % is calculated by # of Satisfactory (S) grades/# enrolled from the start.

Students with W grades or not permitted to test are calculated as not passing.

FYSP includes sections from all sessions

FYSP 2017 Immersion Results with Comparison College Fall Results

COURSE	FYSP 2017 RESULTS	↑ or ↓	COLLEGE RESULTS FALL 2017 (AIRE DATA)
	% passed (N)		% passed (N)
ENG90R	63% (27)	个17	46% (82)
ENG92R	77% (24)	↑22	55% (84)
ENG90W	100% (7)	个12	88% (16)
ENG92W	71% (47)	个27	44% (189)
MAT630	36% (97)	个19	17% (617)
MAT650	52% (121)	个27	25% (445)
ESOL12R	72% (13)	个6	66% (67)
ESOL22R	50% (7)	↓ 30	80% (50)
ESOL21W	83% (25)	个27	56% (98)
ESOL31W	53% (9)	↓ 9	44% (23)

B.3. Retest Prep Data

	Subject	Total # eligible to retest (duplicate)	Total # and % who retested	Retested Workshop attendees # and % passed	Retested Non- Workshop attendees # and % passed	# and % of students did not retest
2017-2018 AY						
Fall 2017	Math	127	38/127 (30%)	16/17 (94%)	17/21 (80%)	89/127 (70%)
Fall 2017	Reading	101	46/101 (45%)	14/24 (58%)	11/22 (50%)	55/101 (54%)
Fall 2017	Total (M/R)	228	84/228 (37%)	30/41 (73%)	28/43 (65%)	144/228 (63%)
Spring 2018	Math	13	9/13 (70%)	3/5 (60%)	3/4 (75%)	4/13 (31%)
Spring 2018	Reading	18	14/18 (77%)	6/11 (55%)	2/3 (66%)	4/18 (22%)
Spring 2018	Total (M/R)	31	23/31 (74%)	9/16 (56%)	5/7 (71%)	8/31 (26%)
2018-2019 AY						
Fall 2018	Math	63	31/63 (49%)	9/10 (90%)	10/21 (47%)	32/63 (51%)
Fall 2018	Reading	87	46/87 (52%)	8/17 (53%)	13/29 (44%)	41/87 (47%)
Fall 2018	Total (M/R)	150	77/150 (51%)	15/25 (60%)	26/52 (50%)	73/150 (49%)
Spring 2019	Math	18	8/18 (44%)	0	6/8 (75%)	10/18 (56%)
Spring 2019	Reading	26	12/26 (46%)	5/6 (83%)	2/6 (33%)	14/26 (54%)
Spring 2019	Total (M/R)	44	20/44 (45%)	5/6 (83%)	8/14 (57%)	24/44 (55%)

C. 1. First Year Learning Community Results Fall 2017 & 2018

FYLC FALL 202	18												
COURSE	Avg # Credits		Retention		(Grades A	·c		Grades A-	D		Grades	W
		#	total	%	#	total	%	#	total	%	#	total	%
FYLC TOTAL	10.57	409	497	82%	343	497	69%	380	497	76%	55	497	11%
Difference FA18-	17 -2.53			-2%			-8%			-7%			7%
					FY	LC FALI	2017						
	Avg # Credits		Retention		(Grades A-	-C		Grades A-	D		Grades	w
		#	total	%	#	total	%	#	total	%	#	total	%
FYLC TOTAL	13.1	235	278	85%	213	278	77%	231	278	83%	12	278	4%

				CON	1PARIS	ON GRO	OUP FA	LL 2018					
COURSE	Avg # Credits		Retention		(Grades A-	С		Grades A-	D		Grades	W
		#	total	%	#	total	%	#	total	%	#	total	%
COMP TOTAL	10.82	3883	4954	78%	3122	4955	63%	3516	4955	71%	772	4955	16%
Difference FA18-17	-1.41			-2%			-4%			-3%			1%
				COM	IPARISC	ON GRO	UP FA	LL 2017	,				
	Avg #												
COURSE	Credits		Retention		(Grades A-	С		Grades A-	D		Grades	W
		#	total	%	#	total	%	#	total	%	#	total	%
COMP TOTAL	12.2	3367	4210	80%	2805	4210	67%	3112	4210	74%	613	4210	15%

FALL

FIRST YEAR **LEARNING COMMUNITIES**

Faculty and Students Explore Interdisciplinary Themes

Professors Spevack & Rosen Communication Design & English Ways of Seeing: Adventures with Image & Text

Professors Cabo & Satyanarayana & Blain Computer Systems Technology & English Story-Telling in Interactive Fiction Games

Professors Cunningham & Allard Computer Systems Technology

Game On, Python!

Professors Duddy & Behrent Architectural Technology & English

Seeing, Saying, Showing:

Exploring Architecture and Visual Culture of New York City

Professors Gernert & Kan Architectural Technology & Math So Many Buildings, So Little Time!

Professors Krondl & Romasanta & Paruolo Hospitality Management & English Eat, Drink, Think!

Professors Goodlad & Stewart Hospitality Management Learning Games for Hospitality Management Innovators

Professors Coughlin & Hall Law and Paralegal Services & English Fight for your Rights!

Professors Rahim & Fraad Biological Sciences & English

Life's Origins, the Earth, and Us

Professors Niezgoda & Sears Mathematics & English

Don't Worry, Be Happy!

Strategies for Success and Satisfaction in College

Professors Foster-McKelvia & Schmerler African American Studies & English Reflections on Society and Culture Through Essays and Black Dramatic Literature

Professors Almond & Corbett

Psychology & Writing: Discovering Your Best Self

Psychology & English

Writing Out Loud!

Professors Standing & Miller

Communication & English

Professors Zimmermann & Pericles & Cheng Art! Camera! Food! Hospitality Management & Humanities (Spring 2020)

C.2. Evidence of FYLC student learning

Please submit by tomorrow (Dec. 20) your final FYLC deliverable. Each cohort should create one post. To do this:

First, review the collaborative assignment your group uploaded to the FYLC OpenLab during spring 2018 on the FYLC OpenLab site: https://openlab.citytech.cuny.edu/groups/fylc-faculty/files/. Then, create a new post on the FYLC Faculty OpenLab site that provides evidence of student work resulting from the collaborative assignment.

Your OpenLab post should include three items:

- 1. the collaborative assignment (PDF or link to the assignment on your OpenLab site)
- 2. an example of student work. Examples of student work may include:
 - Student Openlab submission(s)
 - Term papers
 - Student Reflections
 - Exercises
 - o Multi-media
 - Other
- 3. a one paragraph reflection with your teaching partner(s) about your experience of completing the collaborative assignment in your courses. For example, this reflection might include how your students responded to the assignment, how you might change the assignment for next semester, or unexpected successes or challenge encountered while implementing the assignment.

Post on this page (the FYLC Faculty OpenLab): https://openlab.citytech.cuny.edu/fylc-faculty/. When publishing your post, choose the category "Fall 2018, Evidence of Student Learning".

Thanks for all of your work for the First Year Learning Communities program! We hope your semester has been fruitful and look forward to working with those continuing next spring!

Jennifer Sears, Ashwin Satyanarayana, and Karen Goodlad, FYLC Faculty Leaders

D.1. Grade Distribution for MAT 1275-CO, with and without Peer Leaders; and MAT 1190-CO

		MAT 12	75-CO Sections	MAT 1190-	-CO Sections	
		Fall 2018		Fall 2017	Fall 2018	Fall 2017
	All sections	Sections with Peer Leader	Sections without Peer Leader	All sections (with Peer Leader)	All sections (with Peer Leader)	All sections (with Peer Leader)
Total number of students enrolled	1067	956	111	158	57	25
Total number of sections	29	26	3	4	2	2
Pass Rates (ABC)	48% (507)	48% (463)	40% (44)	54% (86)	51% (29)	64% (16)
Pass Rates (ABCD)	59% (625)	59% (568)	51% (57)	63% (99)	65% (37)	72% (18)
Withdraw	19% (198)	22% (208)	16% (18)	22% (35)	28% (16)	12% (3)
Fail	23% (244)	19% (180)	32% (36)	15% (24)	7% (4)	16% (4)

D.2. August 2018 Mathematics Prep Participants Results vs Fall Grade Distribution

August 2018	August 2018 Math Prep Participant Grades							ade Disti	ribution I	Non-Parti	cipants	% Differenc Participants (个= Increase	·		
COURSE	N	ABC	ABCD	F/W	Did not take math course in FA18		COURSE	N	ABC	ABCD	F/W	COURSE	ABC	ABCD	F/W
MAT1175	6	100%	100%	0%	3		MAT1175	299	51%	69%	57%	MAT1175	个49%	个31%	↓ 57%
MAT1275	36	68%	82%	3%	2		MAT1275	2073	57%	73%	44%	MAT1275	个11%	个9%	↓41 %
MAT1375	23	64%	82%	18%	1		MAT1375	913	65%	79%	36%	MAT1375	↓1%	个3%	↓18%
MAT1475	13	58%	67%	67%	1		MAT1475	623	59%	75%	42%	MAT1475	↓1%	↓ 8%	个25%
MAT1575	10	50%	50%	50%	2		MAT1575	386	60%	72%	52%	MAT1575	↓10%	↓22 %	↓2 %
MAT1190	16	69%	100%	0%	0		MAT1190	1071	64%	79%	40%	MAT1190	个5%	↑21%	↓ 40%

D.3. ESOL and Developmental writing supplemental academic support

	SPRING 2018	FALL 2018		SPRING 2019			
	(non-participants)	(non-participants) (Developmental					
		writing worl	kshop	writing wo	orkshop		
		participants)	participan	ts)		
COURSE	Pass rate	Pass rate	↑ or ↓	Pass rate	↑ or ↓		
ENG090W/	43% (33/75)	67%		59%	个16%		
ENG092W (combined)		(117/175)		(40/68)			

	SPRING 2018 (non-participants)	FALL 2018 (ESOL writi workshop participant		SPRING 20 (ESOL writ workshop participan	ing
COURSE	Pass rate	Pass rate	↑ or ↓	Pass rate	↑ or ↓
ESOL11W	83% (20/24)	71%	↓ 12%	54%	↓ 29%
		(27/38)		(7/13)	
ESOL21W	53% (38/72)	75%	个 22%	80%	个 27%
		(52/69) 50% ↑ 17%		(40/50)	
ESOL31W	67% (12/18)			75%	↑ 8%
		(6/12)		(3/4)	